Management And Control of Power of an Integrated Active Wind Generator for Grid Integration and Generation of Distributed Power

V. Anitha and Mr. N. Narasimhulu

Abstract—**Classical wind energy conversion systems are usually passive generators. The generated power does not depend on the grid requirement but on the fluctuant wind conditions. A dc-wind/hydrogen/super capacitor hybrid power system is studied in this paper. The purpose of the control system is to coordinate these different sources, particularly their power exchange, in order to make controllable the generated power. As a result, an active wind generator can be built to provide some ancillary services to the grid. The control system should be adapted to integrate the power management strategies. Two power management strategies are presented and compared experimentally. We found that the "source-following" strategy has better performances on the grid power regulation than the "grid-following" strategy.**

Index Terms—**Distributed power, energy management, hybrid power system (HPS), power control, wind generator (WG).**

I. INTRODUCTION

RENEWABLE energy sources (RES) and distributed generations (DGs) have attracted special attention all over the world in order to reach the following two goals:

 1)security of energy supply by reducing the dependence on imported fossil fuels

2) the reduction of the emission of greenhouse gases (e.g., CO2) from the burning of fossil fuels. Other than their relatively low efficiency and high cost, the controllability of the electrical production is the main drawback of renewable energy generators, like wind turbines and photovoltaic panels, because of the uncontrollable meteorological conditions [1]. In consequence, their connection into the utility network can lead to grid instability or even failure if they are not properly controlled. Moreover, the standards for interconnecting these systems to the utility become more and more critical and require the DG systems to provide certain services, like frequency and voltage regulations of the local grid. Wind power is considered in this paper. Wind energy is the world's fastest growing energy source, expanding globally at a rate of 25%–35% annually over the last decade (Fig. 1)

Fig. 1. Total wind power (in gigawatts) installed in the world since .

However, classical wind energy conversion systems work like passive generators. Because of the intermittent and fluctuant wind speed, they cannot offer any ancillary services to the electrical system in a micro grid application, where stable active-andreactive-power requirements should be attributed to the generators. As solutions, hybrid power systems (HPS) are proposed to overcome these problems with the following two innovative improvements.

 1)*Energy storage systems* are proposed to compensate or absorb

the difference between the generated wind power and the

required grid power

2)*Power management strategies* are implemented to control the power exchange among different sources and to provide some services to the grid

Hydrogen technologies, combining fuel cells (FCs) and electrolyzers (ELs) with hydrogen tanks, are interesting for long-term energy storage because of the inherent high mass–energy density. In the case of wind energy surplus, the EL converts the excess energy into H2by electrochemical reaction. The produced H2can be stored in the hydrogen tank for future reutilization. In the case of wind energy deficit, the stored electrolytic H2can be reused to generate electricity by an FC to meet the energy good efficiency, flywheel systems are also suitable for fast-dynamic energy

V. Anitha(M.Tech) and Mr. N. Narasimhulu   M.Tech are with HOD of EEE Dpt., SKDEC, Email: anitha_02a7@yahoo.co.in

storage. However, this mechanical system is currently hampered by the danger of "explosive" shattering of the massive wheel due to overload (tensile strength because of high weight and high velocity). SCs are less sensitive in operating temperature than batteries and have no mechanical security problems.


Fig. 2.Structure of the studied wind/hydrogen/SC HPS.

In order to benefit from various technology advantages, we have developed a wind generator (WG), including three kinds of sources: 1) a RES: WG; 2) a fast-dynamic storage: SCs; and 3) a long-term storage: FC, EL, and H2tank. The control of internal powers and energy management strategies should be implemented in the control system for satisfying the grid requirements while maximizing the benefit of RESs and optimizing the operation of each storage unit The purpose of this paper is to present the proposed power management strategies of the studied HPS in order to control the dc-bus voltage and to respect the grid according to the micro grid power requirements. These requirements are formulated as real-and reactive-power references, which are calculated by a centralized secondary control center in order to coordinate power dispatch of several plants in a control area. This area corresponds to a micro grid and is limited due to the high level of reliability and speed required for communications and data transfer the studied HPS structure is presented. The structure of the control system is adapted in order to integrate power management strategies. Two power management strategies are presented in Section IV. The experimental tests are presented to compare their performances in Section V, and conclusions are given in Section VI.


Fig. 3.Hierarchical control structure of the HPS.

II. HPS AND CONTROL SYSTEM

A.   Structure of HPS

In this paper, we use a dc-coupled structure in order to decouple the grid voltages and frequencies from other sources. All sources are connected to a main dc bus before being connected to the grid through a main inverter (Fig. 2). Each source is electrically connected with a power-electronic converter in order to get possibilities for power control actions. Moreover, this HPS structure and its global control system can also be used for other combinations of sources.

B.   Structure of Control System

Power converters introduce some control inputs for power conversion. In this case, the structure of the control system can be divided into different levels (Fig. 3)

*The **switching control unit(SCU)***is designed for each power converter. In an SCU, the drivers with optocouplers generate the transistor's ON/OFF signals from the ideal states of the switching function *{0, 1}*, and the modulation technique

Fig. 4.Modeling and control of the HPS by the Energetic Macroscopic Representation.

(e.g., pulse width modulation) determines the switching functions from the modulation functions (*m*).

The *automatic control unit(ACU)*is designed for each energy source and its power conversion system. In an ACU, the control algorithms calculate the modulation functions (*m*) for each power converter through the regulation of some physical quantities according to their reference values.

The *power control unit(PCU)*is designed to perform the instantaneous power balancing of the entire HPS in order to satisfy the grid requirements. These requirements are real-andreactive-power references, which are obtained from the secondary control center and from references of droop controllers. In a PCU, some power-balancing algorithms are implemented to coordinate the power flows of different energy sources. The different power-balancing algorithms correspond to a number of possible operating modes of the HPS and can be gathered.

The purpose of this paper is to present the power-balancing strategies in the PCU. In order to focus on the power-balancing strategies of the HPS, the control schemes of the power conversion systems through different power converters will not be detailed in this paper. However, some explanations of the ACUs are given in the following paragraphs in order to make the controllable variables of the power conversion systems appear.
C.ACU

The control schemes in the ACUs are shown in Fig. 4 with block diagrams.

1) The *ELpowerconversionsystem*is controlled by setting the terminal voltage ($u$el) equal to a prescribed reference ($u$el_ref) through the dc chopper N 5. The EL stack is considered as an equivalent current source ($i$el).

2) The *FCpowerconversionsystem*is controlled with a reference of the FC current ($i$fc_ref) through the dc chopper N 4. The FC stack is considered as an equivalent voltage source ($u$fc).

3) The *SC power conversion system* is controlled with a current reference ($i$sc_ref) through the dc chopper N 3. The SC bank is considered as an equivalent voltage source ($u$sc).

4)The *wind energy conversion system* is controlled with a reference of the gear torque

Tgear_ref) by the three-phase rectifier N 2.

5) The *grid connection system* consists of a dc-bus capacitor and a grid power conversion system. The grid power conversion system is controlled with line-current references (i_{l_ref}) by the three-phase inverter N 1, because the grid transformer is considered as an equivalent voltage source ($u$grid).


Fig. 5.Multilevel representation of the power modeling and control of the HPS.

The dc-bus voltage is described as

$$Cdc == Idc. \quad (1)$$

In order to control the dc-bus voltage, a voltage controller must be used. The output of the voltage controller is a current reference Idc _ ref(Fig. 4).

III. PCU

A .Layout of PCU

The power modeling of the HPS can be divided into two levels: the *power calculation level* and the *power flow level*(Fig. 5). Thus, the PCU is also divided into two

levels: the **power control level** and the **power sharing level**.

The PCU enables one to calculate references for the ACU from power references. The power sharing level coordinates the power flow exchanges among the different energy sources with different power-balancing strategies. They are presented here in detail with the help of the Multilevel Representation (Fig. 5),

B. Power Control Level

The power exchanges with various sources are controlled only via the related five references. Therefore, the expressions of the powers should be deduced in order to obtain these power references (Table I). Only the sources' powers and the exchanged power with the dc-bus capacitor are taken into account here.

For the energy storage systems, the powers are calculated by multiplying the measured currents and the measured voltages (*Int3*, *Int4*, and *Int5* in Table I). The references of the controllable variables are obtained by dividing the power reference with the measured current or the measured voltages (*Int3c*, *Int4c*, and *Int5c* in Table I).

For the wind energy conversion system, a maximal-power-point-tracking (MPPT) strategy is used to extract the maximum power of the available wind energy according to a nonlinear characteristic in function of the speed. It receives the measured

The output of the dc-bus voltage control loop is the current reference ($i$dc_ref) of the dc-bus capacitor, and its product with the measured dc-bus voltage gives the power reference ($p$dc_ref) for the dc-bus voltage regulation (*Int0e*). The powers, which are exchanged with the grid, can be calculated with the "two-wattmeter" method.

In order to focus on the power exchanges with the different sources around the dc bus, the instantaneously exchanged power with the choke, the losses in the filters, and the losses in the power converters are neglected.

C. Power Sharing Level

The power sharing level is used to implement the power-balancing strategies in order to coordinate the various sources in the HPS (Fig. 5). It plays a very important role in the control system, because the power exchanges lead directly to the stability of the HPS and impact the dc-bus voltage ($u$dc)


Fig. 6.Multilevel representation of the grid-following strategy.

Edc stored energy in the dc-bus capacitor;
$p$dc resulted power into the dc-bus capacitor;
$p$wg generated power from the WG;
$p$fc generated power from the FC;
$p$sc exchanged power with the SC;
$p$el consumed power by the EL;
pg delivered power into the grid from the dc bus.

According to the power exchange, the power flows inside this HPS are modeled with four equations

$$Pow1: \qquad pg = psour - pdc \qquad (3)$$

$$Pow2: \qquad psour = psto + pwg \qquad (4)$$

$$Pow3: \qquad psto = pH2 + psc \qquad (5)$$

$$Pow4: \qquad pH2 = pfc - pel \qquad (6)$$

with $p$sour "source" total power arriving at the dc bus;

IV. POWER-BALANCING STRATEGIES

A. Grid-Following Strategy

With the grid-following strategy, the dc-bus voltage is regulated by adjusting the exchanged power with the grid, while the WG works in MPPT strategies. In Fig. 6, the dc-bus voltage control is shown by a closed loop ($p$dc_ref→ pg_ref→ pg→ $p$dc). Thus, the required power for the dc-bus voltage regulation ($p$dc_ref) is used to estimate the grid power reference (pg_ref)

The source total power ($p$sour) is a disturbance and should also be taken into account with the estimated wind power and the sensed total storage power

The energy storage systems help the wind energy conversion system satisfy the power references, which are asked by the microgrid operator "storage" total power arriving at the dc bus; pH2"hydrogen" total power arriving at the dc bus.$Pow4e$: p˜H2

In this wind/hydrogen/SC HPS, five power-electronic converters are used to regulate the power transfer with each source. According to a chosen power flow, the following two power-balancing strategies can be implemented.

1) The ***grid-followingstrategy***uses the line-current loop to regulate the dc-bus voltage.
2) The ***source-followingstrategy***uses the line-current loop to control the grid active power, and the dc-bus voltage is regulated with the WG and storage units.

In steady state, the dc-bus voltage is regulated, and the averaged power exchange with the dc-bus capacitor can be considered as zero in (3). Hence, in steady state, the grid power (pg)is equal to the total power from the sources ($p$sour).Ifthemicrogrid system operator sets a power requirement (pgc_ref), it must be equal to the sources' power reference ($p$sour_ref),as shown in Fig. 6

$$Pow1c: p\text{sour\_ref}=pg\_ref=pgc\_ref.$$

Fig. 7. Block diagram of the grid-following strategy.

In order to help the wind energy conversion system re- their low energy density. However, they have very fast power spect the active-power requirement, the energy storage systems dynamics and can supply fast-varying powers and power peaks. should be coordinated to supply or absorb the difference be-They can be used as an auxiliary power system of the FCs and tween this power requirement (pgc_ref)and the fluctuant wind ELs to fill the power gaps during their transients

power($p$wg), as shown in Fig. 6

$$Pow3c: p\text{sc\_ref}=p\text{sto\_ref}-\text{H2}$$
$$Pow2c: p\text{sto\_ref}=p\text{sour\_ref}=\text{˜}$$

Among the energy storage systems, the FCs and the ELs are

$$p\text{sour\_ref}=p\text{dc\_ref}+$$

.

However, the power reference ($p$sto_ref) is a fast-varying quantity due to the fluctuant wind power ($p$wg)and the varying grid power (pg). In order to avoid the fast-chattering problem when it is close to zero, it should be slowed down. Moreover, the FCs and the ELs have relatively slow power dynamics, and fast-varying power references are not welcome for their operating lifetime. Therefore, a low-pass filter (LPF) with a slope limiter should be added (Fig. 6)

$$Pow3c^{\blacklozenge}: p\text{H2\_ref}=\frac{1}{1+\tau s}(p\text{sto\_ref}) \quad (14)$$

where τ is the time constant of the LPF and should be set large enough by taking into account the power dynamics of the FCs and the ELs, as well as the size of the SCs.

The SCs are not made for a long-term energy backup unit because they have limited energy storage capacities due to

Then, the total power reference of the storage systems is deduced by taking into account the fluctuant wind power with the inverse equation of $Pow2$(Fig. 8)

$$Pow2c: p\text{sto\_ref}=p\text{sour\_ref}-p\text{˜wg}. \quad (17)$$

This power reference is shared among the FCs, the ELs, and the SCs in the same way as explained earlier ($Pow2c$, $Pow3c$, $Pow4c$, and $Pow3c$).
In addition, now, the grid power reference (pg_ref)is free to be

used for the grid power control. The microgrid system operator can directly set the power requirements (pgc_refandqgc_ref) for the grid connection system ($pg\_ref=pgc\_ref$). Therefore, the HPS can directly supply the required powers for providing the ancillary services to the microgrid, like the regulations of the grid voltage and frequency.


Fig. 8.Multilevel representation of the source-following strategy.

Fig. 9. Block diagram of the source-following strategy.

The block diagram of the grid-following strategy for the active WG is shown in Fig. 9.

V. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

A. Experimental Platform Assessment

An experimental platform of the HPS has been built to test the different power-balancing strategies. Hardware-In-the-Loop (HIL) emulations of a part of a power system enable a fast experimental validation test before implementation with the real process. Some parts of the emulator process are simulated in real time in a controller board and are then interfaced in hardware with the real devices. Such a HIL simulation has been intensively used and enables one to check the availability and reliability of the hybrid active WG (storage component sizing, power-electronic interface, and operation control).

The FC and EL emulators are used to provide the same electrical behavior as the real FC stack and the EL stack [28]. Models of the FCs and the EL have been previously validated through comparisons with obtained experimental results and simulated results from models. They are implemented in a


TABLE II IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FC AND EL EMULATORS

	Number of cells	Active surface	Nominal power	Time constant
Fuel cells	156	25 cm²	1 kW	5 s
Electrolyzers	70	15 cm²	1 kW	5 s

digital control board (DSpace 1102) and calculated voltages and currents are generated by using power-electronic converters. Three "Boostcap SC" modules (160 F and 48 V) are connected in series (Table II). Therefore, the equivalent capacitor of the SC bank is about 53 F, and the maximal voltage is about 144 V. All sources are connected to the dc bus through different power converters (Fig. 10). The dc bus is connected to the grid through a three-phase inverter, three line filters, and a grid transformer. Moreover, the HPS is controlled by a digital control board (DSpace 1103).

The wind power emulator is used to provide the

predefined reduced wind power profile $p$wg(1.2 kW). The sizing of the FC and EL stacks is adapted by using the modeling parameters of Table II in the HIL simulation in order to be interfaced in the experimental test bench. Two power-balancing strategies are tested and compared, respectively. With this experimental test bench, it is possible to apply our proposed hierarchical control system for the active generator and to test it with the developed power-balancing strategies.


(a)

(b)

### B. Power Profile of Different Sources

Two tests are performed experimentally for both strategies, respectively. The same fluctuant wind power profile is used

during 150 s (Fig. 11). The active-power requirement from the microgrid is assumed to be $p$gc_ref= 600W. Similar power profiles are obtained for the energy storage systems (Fig. 11). When the generated wind power is more than 600 W, the EL is activated to absorb the power difference, but when the generated wind power is less than 600 W, the FC is activated to compensate the power difference. Since the power dynamics of the FCs and the EL are limited by an LPF with a 5-s time constant, they are not able to filter the fast fluctuations of the wind power. Therefore, the SCs supply or absorb the power difference.


C. GridFollowingStrategy

In the grid-following strategy, the dc-bus voltage is well

regulated around 400 V by the grid power conversion system (Fig. 12). The energy storage systems help the WG supply the microgrid power requirement ($p$sour= $p$gc_ref= 600 W). Because of the different power losses in the filters and power converters, the grid active power is slightly less than the micro-grid's requirement ($pg<p$gc_ref= 600 W).

D. Source-FollowingStrategy

In the grid-following strategy, the energy storage systems are controlled to supply or absorb the necessary powers in order to maintain the dc-bus voltage (around 400 V) against

the fluctuant wind power (Fig. 13). The grid active power is also regulated and is equal to the microgrid's requirement, because the line-current control loop regulates directly the grid powers ($pg= p$gc_ref= 600 W). Therefore, the source-following strategy has better performances on the grid power regulation than the grid-following strategy, and it can provide ancillary services according to the microgrid's requirements.

E. ComparisonandDiscussion

Thanks to the help of energy storage systems, the dc-bus voltage and the grid powers can be well regulated with both power-balancing strategies, while the WG extracts the maximum available wind power.
By comparing the two power-balancing strategies with their experimental test results (Figs. 12 and 13), we see that the grid active power is better regulated in the "grid-following" strategy than in the "source-following" strategy. In the grid-following strategy, the grid power varies continuously because the line-current control loop regulates the dc-bus voltage and the grid

power is adjusted all the time. In the source-following strategy, the dc-bus voltage is regulated by the SCs, and the grid power can be directly used to supply the same power as required by the microgrid system operator. Thus, if the active generator is required to provide the necessary powers to participate in the microgridmanagement, the source-following strategy is preferred for more precisely controlling the grid powers.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a dc-coupled HPS has been studied with the three kinds of energy sources: 1) a WG as a renewable energy generation system; 2) SCs as a fast-dynamic energy storage system; and 3) FCs with ELs and hydrogen tank as a long-term energy storage system. The structure of the control system is divided into three levels: 1) SCU; 2) ACU; and 3) PCU. Two power-balancing strategies have been presented and compared for the PCU: the grid-following strategy and the source-following strategy. For both of them, the dc-bus voltage and the grid power can be well regulated. The experimental tests have shown that the source-following strategy has better performance on the grid power regulation than the grid-following strategy.

REFERENCES

[1]   W. Li, G. Joos, and J. Belanger, "Real-time simulation of a wind turbine generator coupled with a battery supercapacitor energy storage system," *IEEETrans.Ind.Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1137–1145, Apr. 2010.

[2]   [Online]. Available: http://www.eurobserv-er.org/

[3]   G. Delille and B. Francois, "A review of some technical and economic features of energy storage technologies for distribution systems integration," *Ecol.Eng. Environ. Prot.*,vo, 1, pp. 40–49, 2009.

[4]   C. Abbey and G. Joos, "Supercapacitor energy storage for wind energy applications," *IEEETrans.Ind.Electron.*, vol.

43, no. 3, pp. 769–776, May 2007.

[5] G. Taljan, M. Fowler, C. Cañizares, and G. Verbǐc, "Hydrogen storage for mixed wind-nuclear power plants in the context of a Hydrogen Economy," *HydrogenEnergy*, vol. 33, no. 17, pp. 4463–4475, Sep. 2008.

[6] M. Little, M. Thomson, and D. Infield, "Electrical integration of renewable energy into stand-alone power supplies incorporating hydrogen storage," *HydrogenEnergy*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1582–1588, Jul. 2007.

[7] T.Zhou, D. Lu, H. Fakham, and B. Francois, "Power flow control in different time scales for a wind/hydrogen/super-capacitors based active hybrid power system," in *Proc.EPE-PEMC*, Poznan, Poland, Sep. 2008, pp. 2205–2210.

Author 1 V. Anitha (M.Tech) is Completed her professional career of Education at MITS Madanapalli in the year 2009 Presently Pursuing M.Tech Electrical Power Engineering at SKD Engineering College Gooty Ananthapur District (AP).

Author 2 N. Narasimhulu (M.Tech) is Completed his professional career of education at JNTU HYD in the year 2003.later completed M.Tech in EPE in 2008 at JNTU HYD. His keep interest and special focus in power systems. Presently working as Associate professor at Head of the EEE Department in SKD ENG College, Gooty of Ananthapur district(AP). He has published two papers and attempts for further progress in technical field. He is a life member of Indian Society for Technical Education (India).